# PHD COMPLETION SEMINAR form

## Report Form for providing feedback on the candidate's presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Candidate</th>
<th>ALII, Atiff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>3 1 4 5 4 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Property Construction and Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Code</td>
<td>DR 0 3 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Load</td>
<td>☒ Full-time ☐ Part-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Mode</td>
<td>☒ Thesis ☐ Project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presentation demonstrates that:

**A. Knowledge in the field of study**

1. The thesis/project will contain in-depth and significant new knowledge

   - Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐

   Comment

**B. Effective communication**

1. Ideas and knowledge are being effectively communicated to audiences inside the field of study or discipline

   - Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐

   Comment

**C. Critical judgement and research skills**

1. There is demonstrated an ability to engage effectively in the discipline's philosophy of enquiry and discourses

   - Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐ Not applicable ☐

   Comment

2. Independent and original research and scholarship is clearly demonstrated.

   - Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐ Not applicable ☐

   Comment

3. There is evidence of an understanding and development of relevant concepts
4. Viable research question(s) have been formulated

Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐ Not applicable ☐

Comment

5. Research goals and planning, have been set of and carried out

Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐ Not applicable ☐

Comment

6. Information has been accessed and managed at an advanced level

Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐ Not applicable ☐

Comment

7. Methodologies appropriate to the discipline or field of study have been designed and implemented

Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐ Not applicable ☐

Comment

8. There is evidence of an appropriate analysis and synthesis of information or data from a variety of sources

Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐ Not applicable ☐

Comment

9. There is a critical analysis of research outcomes

Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially ☐ Not applicable ☐

Comment

D. Any further comments:
E. The presentation demonstrates that the thesis/project is on the right track for submission

Yes ☑ No ☐ Partially ☐

F. Sign-off by Panel

Panel Member
Name: Nick Bursma
Signature: ___________________________ Date: 22/5/09

Second Supervisor (or nominee)
Name: __________
Signature: ___________________________ Date: 22/5/09

Senior Supervisor (or nominee)
Name: __________
Signature: ___________________________ Date: 22/5/09

School HDR Co-ordinator (or equivalent/nominee)
Name: __________
Signature: ___________________________ Date: 22/5/09

G. Sign-off by candidate

Name: __________
Signature: ___________________________ Date: 22/5/09

School HDR Co-ordinator (or equivalent/nominee), please send a photo-copy to the Graduate Research Office

For guidance only

1. Comparative Attributes of Masters by research and PhD Graduates

The difference in the levels of Masters by research and PhD graduates is more of a qualitative rather than a quantitative nature. Doctoral graduates must demonstrate a higher level of attainment but with the same knowledge, skills, qualities and abilities.

Compared with Masters by research graduates, PhD graduates will demonstrate a greater:

- depth and breadth of knowledge, including 'cutting-edge' knowledge, and skills
- emphasis on an original contribution to knowledge
- ability to conduct independent research
- capacity to perform larger, and more complex research tasks
- a higher level of responsibility for design, conduct and reporting of research.